

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 JAN 15 AM 10:34

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk
CASE NO.: 07cv2299 DMS (BLM) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
CASE TITLE: Mendez v. Scribner, et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for IFP

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED DATE: 1/14/2008
BY: Rm DEPUTY

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<u>OTHER: Motion already on file (Docket #3).</u>

Date forwarded: 1/14/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Sabraw

Dated: 1/15/08
cc: All Parties

By: SPR/BSL

LEONARDO RUIZ MENDEZ

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER/MOVANT'S NAME

P. V. STATE PRISONER T48106

PRISON NUMBER

PLEASANT VALLEY S. P.PLACE OF CONFINEMENT P. O. BOX 8504COALINGA, CA. 93210

ADDRESS

REJECTED

**United States District Court
Southern District Of California**

Leonardo Ruiz Mendez

Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant

IN PROPER

v.

L. E. SCRIBNER WARDEN, C.S.P.

Defendant/Respondent

Civil No.

07CV2299 DMS (BLM)

(TO BE FILLED IN BY U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK)

**MOTION AND DECLARATION UNDER
PENALTY OF PERJURY IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS**

I, _____
declare that I am the Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant in this case. In support of my request to proceed without prepayment of fees or security under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, I further declare I am unable to pay the fees of this proceeding or give security because of my poverty, and that I believe I am entitled to redress.

In further support of this application, I answer the following question under penalty of perjury:

1. Are you currently incarcerated? ☒ Yes ☐ No (If "No" go to question 2)

If "Yes," state the place of your incarceration PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISONER

Are you employed at the institution? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Do you receive any payment from the institution? ☐ Yes ☒ No

[Have the institution fill out the Certificate portion of this affidavit and attach a certified copy of the trust account statement from the institution of your incarceration showing at least the last six months transactions.]